## Literature Review

**Overview:** Students will identify a research question of personal interest which can be addressed by one of the Interpersonal Communication theories identified in the class. Students will write a literature review which draws on available Interpersonal Communication research to support that research question. This assignment is the culmination assignment of the course.

**Purpose:** The literature review demonstrates your familiarity with one of the major theories used in Interpersonal Communication research and your understanding of Communication as one of the social sciences. It also demonstrates your ability to conduct research using scholarly sources and structure evidence to support an argument.

**Target Length:** 1,250 to 1,750 words in length, not including references (roughly 5-7 filled content pages).

Due: See the course calendar for your section

## **Detailed Description**

### Objective

Students identify a research question within the field of Interpersonal Communication and prepare a literature review to either 1) answer that question or 2) show that the current literature in Interpersonal Communication does not have a satisfactory answer to the question.

#### Limitations

• You may not choose the same topic as another student. Topics are reserved through Moodle on a first-post basis.

#### **Format**

Your paper, including citations, must be formatted according to APA 6th Edition guidelines.

# Grading

This project is graded out of 400 points. 320 points come from the written paper and 80 points come from your in-class presentation.

Paper Rubric

The written portion of this assignment is worth 320 points.

	40 points	30 points	20 points	10 points
Articles	Information is gathered from 5–9 research-based sources.	Information is gathered from 5–9 sources.	Information is gathered from fewer than 5 sources.	Information is gathered from a single source.
Theme	Well organized, demonstrates logical sequencing and structure.	Well organized, but demonstrates illogical sequencing or structure.	Weakly organized with no logical sequencing or structure.	No organization, sequencing, or structure.
Background/Foun	deliconiled conclusions are reached from the evidence offered.	Conclusions are reached from the evidence offered.	There is some indication of conclusions from the evidence offered.	No conclusions are made from the evidence offered.
Research Question	Research question(s) are formed through the literature review and clearly stated.	Research question(s) are formed through the literature review.	Research question(s) were not formed but could be formed through the literature review.	Research question(s) were not formed and are not apparent from the literature review.
Reference Section & In-text Citations	Information is cited properly and in APA format.	Information is cited properly.	Information is cited, but has errors.	Information is not cited or is cited incorrectly.
Length	Adheres to 1,250–1,750 word criteria.	Exceeds or does not meet word criteria by 125 words or less.	Exceeds or does not meet word criteria by 125–250 words.	Exceeds or does not meet word criteria by more than 250 words.

	40 points	30 points	20 points	10 points
Format	Font, spacing, and APA format are correct.	Font and spacing, font and APA, or spacing and APA are correct.	Font, spacing, or APA format is correct.	Font, spacing, and APA format are incorrect.
Grammar	There are fewer than 2 distracting grammatical errors.	There are 2 distracting grammatical errors.	There are 3 distracting grammatical errors.	There are 4 or more distracting grammatical errors.

## Presentation Rubric

The presentation portion of this assignment is worth 80 points.

	40 points	30 points	20 points	10 points
Presentation	Paper is summarized clearly, completely, in an engaging presentation.	Paper is summarized accurately and completely.	Significant portions of the project are not discussed.	No presentation of substance provided.
Questions	Responses to audience questions are clear, complete, and engaging.	Responses to audience questions are generally accurate and understandable.	Responses to audience questions omit significant information.	Student is unable to respond to questions from the audience.